

TIAA Innovation Award Proposal Bubric

IIAA Innovation Award Proposal Rubric									
Award Pathway Options (5 - exceptionally successful, 1 - does not meet expectations)									
Scalable	5	4	3	2	1				
Proposals should demonstrate how this approach would harness scalability. This ensures that the impact of the award extends beyond the immediate term and benefits a larger number of first-generation students.	The proposal thoroughly demonstrates how their approach harnesses scalability. The applicant ensures that the impact of the award extends beyond the immediate term and benefits a larger number of first-generation students.	The proposal adequately demonstrated how the approach would harness scalability. Clear strategies were provided to ensure the impact extends beyond the immediate term and benefits a larger number of first-generation students.	The proposal somewhat demonstrated how the approach would harness scalability. Some strategies were included, but they lacked detail or clarity regarding long-term impact and broader benefits	The proposal minimally addressed scalability. Strategies were mentioned but were vague or insufficiently detailed to show how the impact would extend beyond the immediate term or benefit more first-generation students.	The proposal does not demonstrate how their approach would harness scalability. The impact of the award would not extend beyond the immediate term or have any benefits to a large number of first-generation students.				
Creative	5	4	3	2	1				
Proposals should demonstrate creative approaches to enhancing first-generation student success. This includes the use of new methodologies, technologies, or practices that have the potential to make a significant impact.	The proposal thoroughly demonstrated creative approaches. It included comprehensive and detailed examples of new methodologies, technologies, or practices that have the potential to make a significant impact on first-generation student success.	The proposal adequately demonstrated creative approaches to enhancing first-generation student success. It provided clear examples of new methodologies, technologies, or practices with the potential to make a significant impact.	The proposal somewhat demonstrated creative approaches. It included some new methodologies, technologies, or practices, but these elements lacked detail or clarity regarding their potential impact.	The proposal minimally demonstrated creative approaches. It mentioned new methodologies, technologies, or practices, but they were vague or insufficiently detailed to show potential for significant impact	The proposal did not demonstrate any creative approaches to enhancing first-generation student success. It lacked new methodologies, technologies, or practices that could make a significant impact.				
Award Proposal Rubric Topics									
(5 - exceptionally successful, 1 - does not meet expectations)									
Impact on First-generation Students	5	4	3	2	1				
The proposals addressed how the program, service, or initiative will support first-generation students. This may include strategies that confront barriers, mitigating challenges often experienced by first-generation students.	The proposals thoroughly addressed how barriers are reviewed, confronted, and removed to foster a student-ready approach. Comprehensive and detailed strategies to ensure a sense of belonging for all first-generation students and	The proposals adequately addressed how the program, service, or initiative will support first-generation students and intersecting identities. Clear strategies that foster a sense of belonging for most first-generation students and acknowledge intersecting identities.	The proposals somewhat addressed support for first-generation students. Some strategies foster a sense of belonging for some first-generation students were mentioned, but they lacked detail or clarity.	The proposals minimally addressed support for first-generation students. Strategies for foster a sense of belonging for some first-generation students were vague or insufficient.	The proposals did not address how the program, service, or initiative will support students from historically excluded identities. There were no strategies mentioned to ensure sense of belonging for first-generation students.				

celebrate intersecting identities. intersecting identities. **Collaborative Efforts Across Campus** The proposal articulates collaborative The proposal thoroughly addressed The proposal adequately addressed The proposal somewhat addressed The proposal minimally mentioned The proposal did not mention any efforts across different departments, collaborative efforts across different collaborative efforts across different collaborative efforts. Some partnerships collaborative efforts. Partnerships with collaborative efforts across different offices, and campus or community departments, offices, and departments, offices, and with faculty, staff, student organizations, or faculty, staff, student organizations, or departments, offices, or stakeholders. This may include campus/community stakeholders. campus/community stakeholders. Clear other campus entities were mentioned, but other campus entities were vague or campus/community stakeholders. There Comprehensive and detailed partnerships partnerships with faculty, staff, student they lacked detail or clarity. insufficiently detailed. were no partnerships with faculty, staff, partnerships with faculty, staff, student organizations, and other campus entities student organizations, or other campus organizations, and other campus entities to with faculty, staff, student organizations, create a holistic support system for firstand other campus entities were included to were provided to support first-generation entities to support first-generation generation students. create a holistic support system for firststudents. students. generation students. **Community Engagement** This proposal included plans for engaging The proposal thoroughly included plans for The proposal adequately included plans for The proposal somewhat addressed plans The proposal minimally mentioned plans The proposal did not include any plans for with the broader community, including local engaging with the broader community, engaging with the broader community, for engaging with the broader community. for community engagement. Strategies engaging with the broader community, organizations, businesses, and alums. This encompassing local organizations, including local organizations, businesses, Some strategies involving local involving local organizations, businesses, such as local organizations, businesses, or may lead to engaging a supportive network businesses, and alums. Comprehensive and alums. Clear strategies were provided organizations, businesses, or alums were or alums were vague or insufficiently alums. There were no strategies to create for first-generation students and provide and detailed strategies were provided to to create a supportive network and offer mentioned, but they lacked detail or clarity detailed, with limited focus on creating a a supportive network or provide post-

completion opportunities and mentorship

for first-generation students.

opportunities for post-completion build a supportive network and offer post-completion experiences and regarding support networks and postsupportive network or post-completion experiences and mentorship. extensive post-completion opportunities mentorship for first-generation students. completion opportunities. opportunities. and mentorship for first-generation students.

Intersecting Identities	5	4	3	2	1
This proposal acknowledges intersecting identities of first-generation students. This may include addressing the unique challenges faced by students who may also identify as student veterans, parenting students, low-income, LGBTQ+, students of color, or other intersecting identities.	The proposal thoroughly leveraged an intersectional approach. It comprehensively considered the multiple and overlapping identities of first-generation students and included detailed strategies to address the unique challenges faced by students who may also identify as student veterans, parenting students, low-income, LGBTQ+, students of color, or other intersecting identities.	The proposal adequately leveraged an intersectional approach. It considered the multiple and overlapping identities of first-generation students and provided clear strategies to address the unique challenges faced by students who may also identify as student veterans, parenting students, low-income, LGBTQ+, students of color, or other intersecting identities.	The proposal somewhat leveraged an intersectional approach. It acknowledged the multiple and overlapping identities of first-generation students, but the strategies to address their unique challenges lacked detail or clarity	The proposal minimally considered an intersectional approach. It mentioned the multiple identities of first-generation students but provided vague or insufficient strategies to address the unique challenges faced by students with intersecting identities.	The proposal did not leverage an intersectional approach. It failed to consider the multiple and overlapping identities of first-generation students, and did not address the unique challenges faced by students who may also identify as student veterans, parenting students, lowincome, LGBTQ+, students of color, or other intersecting identities.
Feasibility	5	4	3	2	1
This proposal articulates a detailed and realistic plan.	The proposal thoroughly articulated a clear and realistic plan for implementation.	The proposal adequately articulated a clear and realistic plan for implementation.	The proposal somewhat articulated a plan for implementation.	The proposal minimally addressed the plan for implementation.	The proposal did not articulate a clear or realistic plan for implementation.
Intended Outcomes	5	4	3	2	1
The proposal defined the intended outcomes and articulated how they will contribute to the success of first-generation students.	The proposal thoroughly defined the intended outcomes, detaling what will be measuared and at what frequency. It clearly defines how the intended outcomes will contribute to the success of first-generation students.	The proposal clearly defined the intended outcomes and effectively articulated how they would contribute to the success of first-generation students.	The proposal adequately defined the intended outcomes and moderately articulated how they would contribute to the success of first-generation students.	The proposal somewhat defined the intended outcomes but did not effectively articulate how they would contribute to the success of first-generation students.	The proposal did not define the intended outcomes clearly and failed to articulate how they would contribute to the success of first-generation students.
Indicators of Success	5	4	3	2	1
The proposal clearly defined the indicators of success including but not limited to academic performance, retention rates, graduation, and student engagement among first-generation students.	The proposal thoroughly defined the indicators of success, detailing how academic performance, retention rates, graduation, and student engagement among first-generation students would be measured and when.	The proposal clearly defined the indicators of success, effectively detailing how academic performance, retention rates, graduation, and student engagement among first-generation students would be measured.	The proposal adequately defined the indicators of success, including academic performance, retention rates, graduation, and student engagement among first-generation students.	The proposal somewhat defined the indicators of success but lacked clarity on how academic performance, retention rates, graduation, and student engagement among first-generation students would be measured.	The proposal did not clearly define the indicators of success, including academic performance, retention rates, graduation, and student engagement among first-generation students.

Budgetary Information								
(5 - exceptionally successful, 1 - does not meet expectations)								
Budget	5	4	3	2	1			
The budget is clearly outlined in the budget	The budget is thoroughly detailed in the	The budget is detailed in the budget	The budget is mostly detailed in the budget	The budget is somewhat detailed in the	The budget lacks details outlined in the			
template, detailing the purpose of each	budget template, and each item includes	template, and most items include details	template, and many items include details	budget template, and some items include	budget template. There are no details			
budgeted items, connecting them to the	details about its intended purpose and how	about its intended purpose and how it will	about its intended purpose and how it will	details about its intended purpose and how	about its intended purpose or how it will			
indented outcomes.	it will support the intended outcome(s).	support the intended outcome(s).	support the intended outcome(s).	it will support the intended outcome(s).	support the intended outcome(s).			